

Appln. No. 10/614,962
Election and Amendment dated June 7, 2004
Reply to Office action of May 6, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has entered a restriction requirement, identifying: Group I, claims 1-12; Group II, claims 13-37; Group III, claims 38-44. Applicant has elected Group II, claims 13-37, with traverse.

Further responsive to the restriction requirement, claims 1-12, 38-44 have been canceled.

Further responsive to the restriction requirement, claims 45-63 have been added, all dependent, directly or indirectly, from claim 13.

Reconsideration and removal of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested. Applicant believes that the application may be most efficiently examined if all of the groups indicated by the Examiner were searched at one time, and such action is respectfully requested, MPEP 803. If the restriction requirement is removed, re-instatement of claims 1-12, 38-44 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP



Michael E. Taken
(Reg. No. 28,120)

100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202
(414) 271-7590